arXiv:1605.08855v1 [math.MG] 28 May 2016

QUASISYMMETRIC EMBEDDING OF THE INTEGER SET AND ITS QUASICONFORMAL EXTENSION

HIROKI FUJINO

Abstract. We prove that an injection from the integer set into the real line admits a quasiconformal extension to the complex plane if and only if it is quasisymmetric.

1. Introduction

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a discrete subset. In [3], to study the Teichmüller space of the punctured plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, the author gave some criteria for $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ to be quasiconformally equivalent to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ (that is, there exists a quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(E) = \mathbb{Z}$). In this paper, furthermore, we investigate the correspondences between E and $\mathbb{Z}$ which are the restrictions of global quasiconformal mappings $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(E) = \mathbb{Z}$. A motivation of this attempt is to study the Teichmüller modular group of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ and its action.

Let $\eta : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ be a homeomorphism and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an $\eta-$quasisymmetric embedding from a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$. The theory of the quasisymmetry and its quasiconformal extension originated from the well known study for $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $n = 1$ by Beurling–Ahlfors [2]. They proved that a homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a quasiconformal extension $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ if and only if f is quasisymmetric. This result enables us to treat the universal Teichmüller space, the Teichmüller space of the unit disk, as the space of all orientation preserving quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of the unit circle which fix given three points. Later, Väisälä posed the following question in [6, Question 8] which is still open; can f be extended to a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with a constant $K = K(n, \eta) \geq 1$ which depends only on n and η?

For example, Alestalo–Väisälä showed that if $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is $M-$ biLipschitz, then there always exists a $\sqrt{7}M^2-$ biLipschitz extension

Date: May 31, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 51M04, Secondary 51M05.

Key words and phrases. quasiconformal mapping, quasisymmetric mapping.

$F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of f (see [1, Theorem 5.5]). On the other hand, for quasisymmetric embeddings, there is an obstacle; Trotsenko–Väisälä proved in [5, Theorem 6.6] that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is not relatively connected, then there exists a quasisymmetric embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ which cannot be extended to a quasisymmetric embedding $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^N$ for any $N \geq n$. Since global quasiconformal mappings $F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are also quasisymmetric (see [4, Theorem 11.14]), this fact implies that the Väisälä problem cannot be solved affirmatively for general subsets X even if $n = 1$.

According to the recent study by Vellis [7], he showed that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is $M-$relatively connected, then every $\eta-$quasisymmetric embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be extended to an $\eta'-$quasisymmetric embedding $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, where η' depends only on η and M, and $N(\geq n)$ depends only on n, η, and M. Considering the one dimensional case of the Väisälä problem, it is interesting to find out whether we can choose $N = 2$ uniformly when $n = 1$ in the Vellis's result.

Let us consider the case of $X = \mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 1$. In this paper, we would like to give detailed observations on quasisymmetric embeddings $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, as an example of a relatively connected set for which the Väisälä problem can be solved affirmatively;

Theorem A. (Extensibility of quasisymmetric embeddings of $\mathbb{Z}$) *Every $\eta-$quasisymmetric embedding $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a $K = K(\eta)$ $-$quasiconformal extension $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ where $K = K(\eta)$ is a constant depending only on η.*

Compared to the Beurling–Ahlfors extension theorem, the difficulty in our case is that f can change the magnitude relation. To prove Theorem A, first, we will observe the extensibility of quasisymmetric automorphisms $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ in Section 4 and 5.

Theorem B. (Extensibility of quasisymmetric automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$) *For a bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent;*

(1) *f is $\eta-$quasisymmetric.*
(2) *$\{a_n := f(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition.*
(3) *f admits a $K-$quasiconformal extension $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.*

We say that a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition for $\lambda \geq 1$ if $|a_n - a_m|/|a_n - a_k| \leq \lambda$ holds for any integers $n < m < k$. Thus Theorem B does not only state every quasisymmetric automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$ is quasiconformally extensible, but also characterizes the quasisymmetry by a simple geometric condition. Further, an analogous

theorem holds for quasisymmetric automorphisms of $E = \{e^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (see Theorem 4.9).

Next, we will observe a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ which is an image of a quasisymmetric embedding $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ in Section 6, to complete the proof of Theorem A. In this case, such subsets can also be characterized by a simple geometric condition as follows;

Theorem C. (Characterizetion of quasisymmetric images) *For a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent;*

(1) *There exists an $\eta-$quasisymmetric bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to E$.*
(2) *E can be written as a monotone increasing sequence $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, and there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that the following inequality holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*

$$\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{a_{n+k} - a_n}{a_n - a_{n-k}} \leq M.$$

(3) *There exists a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $F(\mathbb{Z}) = E$.*

2. Definitions and Basic properties

First, let $\eta : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ be a homeomorphism and $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subset. An injection $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be $\eta-$quasisymmetric if the following inequality holds for any three points $x, y, z \in X$ $(x \neq z)$;

$$\left|\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{f(x) - f(z)}\right| \leq \eta\left(\left|\frac{x - y}{x - z}\right|\right). \tag{QS}$$

If $x \neq y$, replacing y and z, the following lower estimate holds;

$$\left|\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{f(x) - f(z)}\right| \geq \eta\left(\left|\frac{x - y}{x - z}\right|^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

Notice that if there exists at least one $\eta-$quasisymmetric mapping (and X contains at least two elements), applying (QS) to $y = z$, it turns out that η must satisfy $\eta(1) \geq 1$.

Next, let $K \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain. An orientation preserving homeomorphism $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be $K-$quasiconformal if its distributional derivatives f_z and $f_{\bar{z}}$ are in the locally integrable class, and

$$K(f) := \operatorname*{ess.sup}_{z\in\Omega} \frac{|f_z(z)| + |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|}{|f_z(z)| - |f_{\bar{z}}(z)|} \leq K.$$

$K(f)$ is called the maximal dilatation of f.

These two concepts are closely related by the so-called egg-yolk principle (see [4, Theorem 11.14]). In particular, for orientation preserving homeomorphisms from $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself, the quasiconformality and the quasisymmetry are quantitatively equivalent.

3. Key Observation

We would like to start from a simple observation which is trivial for ones who are familiar with quasiconformal mappings. However, this observation will play a central role in the construction of quasiconformal extensions in later sections.

Let us consider a rectangle $R_{a,b}=\{z\in\mathbb{C};\ |\mathrm{Re}z|<a,\ |\mathrm{Im}z|<b\}$ for $a,b>0$. For a real number $c\in(0,a)$, we set

$$f(z):=\begin{cases} z & (z\notin R_{a,b})\\ \left(a+c-\dfrac{2c}{b}|y|\right)\dfrac{x+a}{a-c}-a+iy & (z=x+iy\in R_{a,b},\ -a\leq x\leq -c)\\ \left(a-c+\dfrac{2c}{b}|y|\right)\dfrac{x-a}{a+c}+a+iy & (z=x+iy\in R_{a,b},\ -c<x\leq a). \end{cases}$$



Figure 1.

Then f defines a quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ (see Figure 1). In particuler $f=id$ on $\mathbb{C}\setminus R_{a,b}$, $f(-c)=c$, and its maximal dilatation depends only on a,b and c. By using this flexible deformation, we have the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\delta\in(0,+\infty]$, and let*

$$R(n,\delta)=\left\{z\in\mathbb{C};\ \frac{1}{2}<\mathrm{Re}z<n+\frac{1}{2},\ |\mathrm{Im}z|<\delta\right\}.$$

Then, for any bijection $f:\{1,2,\cdots,n\}\to\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$, there exists a $K=K(n,\delta)-$quasiconformal extension $\widetilde{f}:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ of f, such that

$\widetilde{f} = id$ *on* $\mathbb{C} \setminus R(n, \delta)$*, where* $K = K(n, \delta)$ *is a constant depending only on* n *and* δ*.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the claim holds for $n = 1$. We assume the claim holds for $n-1$ ($n \geq 2$).

Let $f : \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \to \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ be a bijection, and let $m := f(n)$. By the preceding observation, we can easily construct a quasiconformal mapping $g_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which maps $m \mapsto m - i\delta/2$, $n \mapsto n + i\delta/2$, fixes the other integers, and is identity on $\mathbb{C} \setminus R(n, \delta)$ (see Figure 2). Similarly we construct global quasiconformal mappings g_2 which maps $m - i\delta/2 \mapsto n - i\delta/2$, $n + i\delta/2 \mapsto m + i\delta/2$, and g_3 which maps $n - i\delta/2 \mapsto n$, $m + i\delta/2 \mapsto m$. Then $g := g_3 \circ g_2 \circ g_1$ is a quasiconformal mapping which permutes n and m, fixes the other integers, and is identity on $\mathbb{C} \setminus R(n, \delta)$. Since the possible values of m are only $n-$kinds, the maximal dilatation of g is bounded by a constant depending only on n and δ.



FIGURE 2. :construction of g_1.

By the construction, $f_1 := g^{-1} \circ f$ fixes n. Thus f_1 defines a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. From the assumption, f_1 extends to a global quasiconformal mapping which satisfies the conditions of the claim. Thus, we have a desired extension $\widetilde{f} = g \circ f_1$. □

Each bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ can be regarded as a permutation of countably many elements. On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 guarantees that any permutation of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, a finite set, can be represented by a quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ which deforms only a small neighborhood $R(n, \delta)$ of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

4. Extensibility of quasisymmetric automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem B. For this purpose, it is useful to consider bijections $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ as sequences.

4.1. Splittable bijective sequence.

We say that a sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ is *bijective* if the correspondence $n \mapsto a_n$ ($\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$) is bijective. For two integers $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($k \leq \ell$), we use the following notations;

$$\begin{aligned} [k,\ell]_{\mathbb{Z}} &:= [k,\ell] \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{k, k+1, \cdots, \ell-1, \ell\}, \\ \left|[k,\ell]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right| &:= \#[k,\ell]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \ell - k + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark that we allow the case of $k = \ell$ in the above notations, and in this case, $[k,k]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{k\}$ and $\left|[k,k]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right| = 1$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ be bijective. We say that an interval $I = [k,\ell]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ splits A if $a_n > \max\limits_{j\in I} a_j$ holds for all $n > \ell$, and $a_n < \min\limits_{j\in I} a_j$ holds for all $n < k$.*

Further, we say that A is $C-$splittable for a constant $C \geq 1$ if there exists a strictly monotone increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies the following conditions for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;

- *the interval $I_n := [k_n + 1, k_{n+1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ splits A,*
- *$|I_n| = k_{n+1} - k_n \leq C$.*

By the definition, the following is immediately confirmed.

Lemma 4.2. *If an interval $I = [k,\ell]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ splits a bijective sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\{a_n\}_{n\in I}$ is an interval $I' = [k',\ell']_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $|I| = |I'|$. Further $\{a_n\}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>\ell}} = [\ell'+1, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{\ell'+1, \ell'+2, \cdots\}$, and $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{<k}} = (-\infty, k'-1]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{k'-1, k'-2, \cdots\}$.*

Proof. Let $k' = \min_{j\in I} a_j$ and $\ell' = \max_{j\in I} a_j$. By the definition, we have $\{a_n\}_{n\in I} \subset [k',\ell']_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{a_n\}_{\mathbb{Z}_{>\ell}} \subset [\ell'+1, +\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{<k}} \subset (-\infty, k'-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Since $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is bijective, the above implications must be equalities. Further $|I'| = \#\{a_n\}_{n\in I} = |I|$. □

Note that if an interval I splits a bijective sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$, then $a_n \to \pm\infty$ as $n \to \pm\infty$.

Example 4.3. *Define a bijective sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{6n} = 6n, \quad a_{6n+1} = 6n+2, \quad a_{6n+2} = 6n-2, \\ a_{6n+3} = 6n+3, \quad a_{6n+4} = 6n+5, \quad a_{6n+5} = 6n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $a_n \to \pm\infty$ as $n \to \pm\infty$, but there is no interval which splits A.

In Figure 3, each arrow represents the orbit of the sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, that is, each arrow starts from a_n and gets to a_{n+1} for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Such diagrams as Figure 3 are useful for our argument, and will be used frequently in later sections.



FIGURE 3.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ be a bijective sequence, $C \geq 1$, and $\delta \in (0, +\infty]$. If A is $C-$splittable, then there exists a $K = K(C,\delta)-$quasiconformal mapping $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies the following conditions;*

- *$\widetilde{f}(n) = a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*
- *$\widetilde{f} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C};\ |\mathrm{Im}z| < \delta\}$,*

where $K = K(C,\delta)$ is a constant depending only on C and δ.

Proof. Since A is $C-$splittable, there is a strictly monotone increasing sequence $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that each interval $I_n := [k_n + 1, k_{n+1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ splits A, and satisfies $|I_n| \leq C$.

Since translation $z \mapsto z + \alpha$ ($\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$) is conformal for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we may assume $\{a_j\}_{j\in I_n} = [k_n + 1, k_{n+1}]_{\mathbb{Z}} = I_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Namely, the correspondence $j \mapsto a_j$ ($\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$) splits into permutations $j \mapsto a_j$ ($I_n \to I_n$) ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$). By Lemma 3.1, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a $K = K(C,\delta)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f_n} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\widetilde{f_n}(j) = a_j$ for all $j \in I_n$,
- $\widetilde{f_n} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{z;\ k_n + \dfrac{1}{2} < \mathrm{Re}z < k_{n+1} + \dfrac{1}{2},\ |\mathrm{Im}z| < \delta\right\}$.

Let $\widetilde{f}(z) := \widetilde{f_n}(z)$ if $z \in \{z;\ k_n + 1/2 < \mathrm{Re}z \leq k_{n+1} + 1/2\}$. Then, clearly $\widetilde{f}$ defines a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$. Since domains $\{z;\ k_n + 1/2 < \mathrm{Re}z < k_{n+1} + 1/2\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) are disjoint, the maximal dilatation of $\widetilde{f}$ is also K. Thus $\widetilde{f}$ is a desired mapping. □

4.2. Three point condition for bijective sequences.

Definition 4.5. *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ be a bijective sequence, and let $\lambda \geq 1$. We say that A satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition if for any integers $n < m < k$, it holds that*

$$\left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right| \leq \lambda. \tag{3PC}$$

Suppose A satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition. Then for any integers $n < m < k$, it holds from the triangle inequality that

$$\lambda \geq \left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right| \geq \left|1 - \left|\frac{a_k - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right|\right|.$$

Thus, we have a symmetric condition;

$$\left|\frac{a_k - a_m}{a_k - a_n}\right| \leq \lambda + 1. \tag{3PC'}$$

Remark 4.6. *We would like to emphasise that the condition* (3PC) *holds even if $n = m$, and the condition* (3PC') *holds even if $m = k$. This makes our arguments concise.*

The conditions (3PC) and (3PC') have a simple geometrical meaning; suppose the orbit starts from a certain point a_n and goes far away, say a_m $(m > n)$, then the orbit $\{a_j\}_{j>m}$ cannot return to a point near to a_n above a certain rate (see Figure 4).



FIGURE 4. :$\lambda-$three point condition

Proposition 4.7. *If a bijective sequence $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition for some $\lambda \geq 1$, then $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$ or $a_n \to \mp\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$ holds.*

Proof. First, we prove $a_n \to +\infty$ or $a_n \to -\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$. To obtain a contradiction, we assume $a_n \not\to \pm\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$. Further, we suppose $a_0 = 0$ for simplicity.

By the assumption, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ is unbounded from above and below. Thus there is an integer $n_1 > 0$ such that $|a_{n_1}| > \lambda + 1$ holds, and $a_{n_1} + 1 \in \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{-1}$ or $a_{n_1} - 1 \in \{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{-1}$ holds. Indeed if such an integer does not exist, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ must contain $\{m \in \mathbb{Z};\ |m| > \lambda\}$. This cannot occur since $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ is an infinite subset.

Let $n_{-1} < 0$ be an integer which satisfies $a_{n_{-1}} = a_{n_1} + 1$ or $a_{n_{-1}} = a_{n_1} - 1$. Then, by the three point condition, We have a contradiction;

$$\lambda \geq \left|\frac{a_{n_{-1}} - a_0}{a_{n_{-1}} - a_{n_1}}\right| = |a_{n_{-1}}| > \lambda.$$

Similarly, we can show $a_n \to +\infty$ or $a_n \to -\infty$ as $n \to -\infty$. Obviously, if $a_n \to +\infty$ $(n \to +\infty)$, then $a_n \not\to +\infty$ $(n \to -\infty)$. Thus we have the claim. □

4.3. Key Theorem and Extensibility of Quasisymmetric automorphisms.

The following theorem will be proved in Section 5.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ be bijective, $\lambda \geq 1$, and $\delta \in (0, +\infty]$. If A satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition and $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, then there exists a $K = K(\lambda, \delta)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- *$\widetilde{f} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z;\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \delta\}$,*
- *$B = \{b_n := \widetilde{f}(a_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is $(2\lambda + 3)-$splittable,*

where $K = K(\lambda, \delta)$ is a constant depending only on λ and δ.

As a direct corollary of Theorem 4.8, we have the following;

Theorem B. (Extensibility of quasisymmetric automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$)
For a bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent;

(1) *f is $\eta-$quasisymmetric.*
(2) *$\{a_n := f(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition.*
(3) *f admits a $K-$quasiconformal extension $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. First, (1) ⇒ (2) is clear. Indeed, for any integers $n < m < k$, we have

$$\left|\frac{f(n) - f(m)}{f(n) - f(k)}\right| \leq \eta\left(\left|\frac{n - m}{n - k}\right|\right) \leq \eta(1).$$

Next, (3) ⇒ (1) is also clear, since $K-$quasiconformal self-homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$ are $\eta-$quasisymmetric with an η depending only on K (thus the restrictions to $\mathbb{Z}$ are also $\eta-$quasisymmetric with the same η).

Last, we prove that (2) ⇒ (3). By Proposition 4.7, $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$ or $a_n \to \mp\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$ holds. Since $z \mapsto -z$ $(\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C})$ is conformal, we may assume the former case holds. Then we can apply Theorem 4.8, that is, there exists a $K_1 = K_1(\lambda)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f_1} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $B = \{b_n := \widetilde{f_1}(a_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is $(2\lambda + 3)-$splittable. Further, applying Lemma 4.4, we have $K_2 = K_2(\lambda)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f_2} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\widetilde{f_2}(n) = b_n = \widetilde{f_1}(a_n) = \widetilde{f_1} \circ f(n)$. Therefore we obtain a desired extension $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f_1}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{f_2}$. □

Next, we consider quasisymmetric automorphisms of $E := \{e^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. In this case, we analogously obtain the following theorem;

Theorem 4.9. *For a bijection $f : E = \{e^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \to E$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent;*

(1) *f is $\eta-$quasisymmetric.*
(2) *$b_n := f(e^n) \to 0$ as $n \to -\infty$, and $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition, that is, for any integers $n < m < k$ it holds that*
$$\left|\frac{b_n - b_m}{b_n - b_k}\right| = \left|\frac{f(e^n) - f(e^m)}{f(e^n) - f(e^k)}\right| \leq \lambda.$$
(3) *f admits a $K-$quasiconformal extension $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$.*

Assume $f : E \to E$ is $\eta-$quasisymmetric. Since quasisymmetric mappings map Cauchy sequences to Cauchy sequences, we have $f(e^n) \to 0$ $(n \to -\infty)$. Further, for any integers $n < m < k$
$$\left|\frac{f(e^n) - f(e^m)}{f(e^n) - f(e^k)}\right| \leq \eta\left(\left|\frac{e^n - e^m}{e^n - e^k}\right|\right) < \eta(1).$$
Thus (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is valid, and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is clear for the same reason as the preceding proof.

Remark 4.10. *In the condition* (2), *$f(e^n) \to 0$ $(n \to -\infty)$ is necessary. More precisely, the $\lambda-$three point condition does not imply this property. In fact, for $f : e^n \mapsto e^{-n}$ $(E \to E)$, the sequence $\{b_n := f(e^n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $1-$three point condition, but $b_n = f(e^n) \to +\infty$ $(n \to -\infty)$.*

Thus we only need to show (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). To prove this, we prepare some lemmas. Let us assume $f : E \to E$ satisfies the condition (2).

Let $a_n := \log \circ f \circ \exp(n)$ (then $b_n = e^{a_n}$ holds). Since $b_n \to 0$ $(n \to -\infty)$, we have $a_n \to -\infty$ $(n \to -\infty)$. Note that $A := \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ is a bijective sequence.

Lemma 4.11. *There exists a constant $C_\lambda \geq 0$ depending only on λ, such that $a_k - a_\ell \leq C_\lambda$ holds if $k < \ell$ and $a_\ell < a_k$.*

Proof. Assume that integers k, ℓ satisfy $k < \ell$ and $a_\ell < a_k$. Since $a_n \to -\infty$ $(n \to -\infty)$, there exists an integer $j < k$ such that $a_j < a_\ell$. Thus, by the three point condition,
$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\geq \left|\frac{b_j - b_k}{b_j - b_\ell}\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{e^{a_j} - e^{a_k}}{e^{a_j} - e^{a_\ell}}\right| = \frac{e^{a_k - a_\ell} - e^{-(a_\ell - a_j)}}{1 - e^{-(a_\ell - a_j)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < e^{-(a_\ell - a_j)} < 1$, we have $\lambda \geq e^{a_k - a_\ell} - 1$. Therefore $a_k - a_\ell \leq \log(\lambda + 1) =: C_\lambda$. □

Lemma 4.12. *$\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the $(C_\lambda + 1)-$three point condition, where C_λ is a constant in Lemma 4.11.*

Proof. Let $n < m < k$. If $|a_n - a_k| \geq |a_n - a_m|$, then $|a_n - a_m|/|a_n - a_k| \leq 1 \leq C_\lambda + 1$. Thus we consider the case of $|a_n - a_k| < |a_n - a_m|$.

First, if $a_n > a_m$, then we have

$$\left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right| \leq a_n - a_m \leq C_\lambda < 1 + C_\lambda.$$

In this estimation, remark that a_n and a_k are distinct integers, that is, $|a_n - a_k| \geq 1$ holds.

Next, if $a_n < a_m$, by $|a_n - a_k| < |a_n - a_m|$ it holds $a_k < a_m$. Thus, by Lemma 4.11 we have $0 < a_m - a_k \leq C_\lambda$ and

$$\left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right| \leq \frac{|a_n - a_k| + |a_k - a_m|}{|a_n - a_k|} \leq 1 + C_\lambda.$$

□

Proposition 4.13. *(2) ⇒ (3) in Theorem 4.9 holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.12 and Proposition 4.7, the sequence $A = \{a_n := \log b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the $(C_\lambda + 1)-$three point condition and $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$. Thus by Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.4, there exists a $K = K(\lambda)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{G} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $\widetilde{G}(n) = a_n$,
- $\widetilde{G} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z;\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \pi\}$.

Define a homeomorphism $\widetilde{F} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widetilde{F}(z + 2n\pi i) := \widetilde{G}(z) + 2n\pi i,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $z \in \{z;\ -\pi < \mathrm{Im} z \leq \pi\}$. Clearly, $\widetilde{F}$ is $K = K(\lambda)-$quasiconformal and $\widetilde{F}(n) = a_n$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, the projection of $\widetilde{F}$ with respect to the universal covering $\pi : z \mapsto e^z$ $(\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^*)$, that is, the mapping $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by $\widetilde{f} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \widetilde{F}$ gives a $K-$quasiconformal extension of f. Since $b_n = \widetilde{f}(e^n) \to 0$ $(n \to -\infty)$, we obtain a desired extension by the removable singularity theorem for quasiconformal mappings. □

Remark 4.14. *By the construction of $\tilde{f}$, it turns out that we can choose the quasiconformal extension in Theorem 4.9 so that it is identity on the negative real axis.*

5. Proof of Theorem 4.8

We devote this section to the proof of Theorem 4.8. The statement of Theorem 4.8 is the following;

Theorem . *Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ be bijective, $\lambda \geq 1$, and $\delta \in (0, +\infty]$. If A satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition and $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, then there exists a $K = K(\lambda, \delta)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- *$\widetilde{f} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z;\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \delta\}$,*
- *$B = \{b_n := \widetilde{f}(a_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is $(2\lambda + 3)-$splittable,*

where $K = K(\lambda, \delta)$ is a constant depending only on λ and δ.

We would like to start proving this claim. Throughout this section, we assume $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the $\lambda-$three point condition $(\lambda \geq 1)$ and $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$. Further, let $\delta \in (0, +\infty]$.

Step1. By translation, we may assume $a_0 = 0$. Since $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, there uniquely exist integers k_0 and k_1 such that

$$\text{(C0)} \qquad a_{k_0+1} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad n < k_0 + 1 \Rightarrow a_n < 0,$$

$$\text{(C1)} \qquad a_{k_1} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad n > k_1 \Rightarrow a_n > 0.$$

Remark that since $a_0 = 0$, it holds that $k_0 < 0 \leq k_1$.



Figure 5. :Orbit of $A = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$

Let $I_0 := [k_0 + 1, k_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and let $\ell_0, r_0 \in I_0$ satisfy $a_{\ell_0} = \max_{j\in I_0} a_j$, and $a_{r_0} = \min_{j\in I_0} a_j$ (see Figure 5). Further, let $\lambda' := \lambda + 1$.

Claim 1. *$|a_{r_0}|,\ |a_{\ell_0}| \leq \lambda'$ and $|I_0| \leq 2\lambda' + 1$ hold.*

Proof. By the three point condition, the following hold for any integers $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Remark 4.6);

$$n \le m < k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_k}\right| \le \lambda < \lambda',$$
$$n < m \le k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left|\frac{a_k - a_m}{a_k - a_n}\right| \le \lambda'.$$

Let j be an integer such that $a_j = a_{\ell_0} + 1$. Then by the condition (C0), we have $j > k_1 (\ge \ell_0)$. Thus,

$$\lambda' \ge \left|\frac{a_{\ell_0} - a_{k_1}}{a_{\ell_0} - a_j}\right| = |a_{\ell_0} - a_{k_1}|.$$

Since $a_{\ell_0} \ge 0$ and $a_{k_1} \le 0$, we have $|a_{\ell_0}| \le \lambda'$. Similarly, we can show $|a_{r_0}| \le \lambda'$. Further, we have $|I_0| \le a_{\ell_0} - a_{r_0} + 1 \le 2\lambda' + 1$. □

Next, we sort the interval $[a_{r_0}, a_{\ell_0}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ appropriately by a global quasiconformal mapping. Let $\{c_n\}_{n=m_0}^{m_1}$ be the unique sequence such that

$$\begin{cases} \{c_n\}_{n=m_0}^{m_1} = \{a_n\}_{n=k_0+1}^{k_1}, \\ a_{r_0} = c_{m_0} < c_{m_0+1} < \cdots < c_0 = a_0 = 0 < \cdots < c_{m_1} = a_{\ell_0}. \end{cases}$$

That is, $\{c_n\}_{n=m_0}^{m_1}$ is the ascending sort of $\{a_n\}_{n \in I_0}$ normalized by $c_0 = a_0 (= 0)$. Remark that m_0 and m_1 are also uniquely determined by the above conditions. Similarly, let $\{d_n\}_{n=p_0}^{-1}$ and $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{p_1}$ be the unique sequences such that

$$\begin{cases} \{d_n\}_{n=p_0}^{-1} \cup \{d_n\}_{n=1}^{p_1} = [a_{r_0}, a_{\ell_0}]_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{a_n\}_{n \in I_0}, \\ d_{p_0} < d_{p_0+1} < \cdots < d_{-1} < 0 < d_1 < \cdots < d_{p_1}. \end{cases}$$

p_0 and p_1 are also uniquely determined by the conditions $m_0 + p_0 = a_{r_0}$ and $m_1 + p_1 = a_{\ell_0}$. (If $p_0 = 0$ or $p_1 = 0$, then we assume the corresponding sequences are empty.)

By Lemma 3.1 and Claim 1, there exists a $K = K(\lambda, \delta)-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f_0} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

(1) $\widetilde{f_0} = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C};\ a_{r_0} - \dfrac{1}{2} < \mathrm{Re} z < a_{\ell_0} + \dfrac{1}{2},\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \delta \right\}$,

(2) $\widetilde{f_0}(d_j) = m_0 + j \quad (j = -1, -2, \cdots, p_0)$,
$\widetilde{f_0}(c_j) = j \qquad (j = m_0, m_0 + 1, \cdots, m_1)$,
$\widetilde{f_0}(d_j) = m_1 + j \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, p_1)$.

Using this mapping, we set $A_0 := \{a_n^0 := \widetilde{f_0}(a_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see Figure 6). Then $I_0 = [k_0 + 1, k_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ splits A_0 and $|I_0| \le 2\lambda' + 1 = 2\lambda + 3$.



FIGURE 6. :permutation by $\widetilde{f}_0$

Step2. By Lemma 4.2, $\{a^0_n\}_{n\in I_0}=[m_0,m_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{a^0_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>k_1}}=[m_1+1,+\infty)_{\mathbb{Z}}=\{m_1+1,m_1+2,\cdots\}$. Let k_2 be the maximum integer which satisfies $a^0_{k_2}\in[m_1+1,a_{\ell_0}+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and let $I_1:=[k_1+1,k_2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Further we let $\ell_1\in\mathbb{Z}$ be the integer such that $a^0_{\ell_1}=\max_{j\in I_1}a^0_j$.

Remark that since $\widetilde{f}_0=id$ on $\{z;\ \mathrm{Re}z>a_{\ell_0}+1/2\}$, it holds $a^0_n=a_n$ if $a^0_n\geq a_{\ell_0}+1$. In particular, $a^0_{\ell_1}=a_{\ell_1}$.

Claim 2. *$|a_{\ell_1}-(a_{\ell_0}+1)|\leq\lambda'$, and $|I_1|\leq 2\lambda'+1$ hold.*

Proof. Let $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $a^0_j=a^0_{\ell_1}+1=a_{\ell_1}+1$. Then $j>k_2(\geq\ell_1)$. Further by the preceding remark, it holds $a^0_j=a_j$. By the definition of $\widetilde{f}_0$, we have $a_{\ell_0}+1\geq a^0_{k_2}=\widetilde{f}_0(a_{k_2})\geq a_{k_2}$. Therefore,

$$\lambda'\geq\left|\frac{a_{\ell_1}-a_{k_2}}{a_{\ell_1}-a_j}\right|\geq|a_{\ell_1}-(a_{\ell_0}+1)|.$$

Moreover by Claim 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| \leq |[m_1+1, a_{\ell_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}| &= a_{\ell_1} - m_1 \\ &\leq a_{\ell_1} - (a_{\ell_0}+1) + a_{\ell_0} + 1 \leq 2\lambda' + 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Similarly to Step1, we sort the interval $[a_{\ell_0}+1, a_{\ell_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ appropriately by a global quasiconformal mapping. Let $\{c_n^1\}_{n=a_{\ell_0}+1}^{m_2}$ be the unique sequence such that

$$\begin{cases} \{c_n^1\}_{n=a_{\ell_0}+1}^{m_2} = [a_{\ell_0}+1, a_{\ell_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{a_n^0\}_{n\in I_1}, \\ a_{\ell_0}+1 = c_{a_{\ell_0}+1}^1 < c_{a_{\ell_0}+2}^1 < \cdots < c_{m_2-1}^1 < c_{m_2}^1 = a_{\ell_1}, \end{cases}$$

and let $\{d_n^1\}_{n=1}^{p_2}$ be the unique sequence such that

$$\begin{cases} \{d_n^1\}_{n=1}^{p_2} = [a_{\ell_0}+1, a_{\ell_1}]_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{a_n^0\}_{n\in I_1}, \\ d_1^1 < d_2^1 < \cdots < d_{p_2}^1. \end{cases}$$

Again, we remark that p_2 is automatically determined by the equation $m_2 + p_2 = a_{\ell_1}$, and if $p_2 = 0$, we assume $\{d_n^1\}_{n=1}^{p_2}$ is empty. By Lemma 3.1 and Claim 2, there exists a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f}_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies

(1) $\widetilde{f}_1 = id$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C};\ a_{\ell_0} + \dfrac{1}{2} < \mathrm{Re} z < a_{\ell_1} + \dfrac{1}{2},\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \delta \right\}$,

(2) $\widetilde{f}_1(c_j) = j \qquad (j = a_{\ell_0}+1, a_{\ell_0}+2, \cdots, m_2)$,

$\widetilde{f}_1(d_j) = m_2 + j \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots, p_2)$,

where K is the same constant appeared in the construction of $\widetilde{f}_0$.

Let $A_1 := \{a_n^1 := \widetilde{f}_1(a_n^0)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Then intervals $I_0 = [k_0+1, k_1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $I_1 = [k_1+1, k_2]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ split A_1, and $|I_0|,\ |I_1| \leq 2\lambda'+1 = 2\lambda+3$ hold. Furthermore, since $\widetilde{f}_0$ and $\widetilde{f}_1$ deform disjoint domains, the maximal dilatation of $\widetilde{f}_1 \circ \widetilde{f}_0$ does not increase. Namely, $\widetilde{f}_1 \circ \widetilde{f}_0$ is also $K-$quasiconformal (see Figure 7).

Step3. Applying Step2 repeatedly, for each $m \geq 2$, we can construct an interval $I_m = [k_m+1, k_{m+1}]_{\mathbb{Z}}$, a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f}_m : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, and a sequence $A_m = \{a_n^m := \widetilde{f}_m(a_n^{m-1})\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

- intervals $I_0,\ I_1, \cdots,\ I_m$ split A_m,
- $|I_0|,\ |I_1|, \cdots,\ |I_m| \leq 2\lambda + 3$,
- $\widetilde{f}_j$ are identity on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z;\ |\mathrm{Im} z| < \delta\}$.



FIGURE 7. :permutation by $\widetilde{f}_1$

Furthermore, by the construction of $\widetilde{f}_j$, the mappings $\widetilde{f}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, \cdots, m)$ deform disjoint domains. Thus $\widetilde{f}_m \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{f}_0$ converges to a $K-$quasiconformal mapping uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ as $m \to +\infty$.

Further, we can apply the same argument to the negative direction of $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Consequently we have a desired $K-$quasiconformal mapping $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. □

6. Characterization of quasisymmetric images

In this section, we characterize subsets $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ which are images of some quasisymmetric embeddings $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, the author have characterized images of quasiconformal mappings as follows;

Theorem 6.1. (F. 2015 [3, Theorem A]) *For a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent.*

(1) *There exists a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $F(\mathbb{Z}) = E$.*
(2) *E can be written as a monotone increasing sequence $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, and there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that the following inequality holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*

$$\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{a_{n+k} - a_n}{a_n - a_{n-k}} \leq M.$$

Further, if E satisfies the second condition, there exists a quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(n) = a_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will see that the above conditions are desired characterizations. To see this, we can use almost the same proof as [3, Theorem A]. However, we would like to give proofs here for completeness and convenience. First, we prepare some preliminary lemmas.

Remark 6.2. *If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an image of a quasisymmetric mapping $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, since quasisymmetric mappings take Cauchy sequences to Cauchy sequences, E must be closed and discrete in $\mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 6.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an $\eta-$quasisymmetric mapping, and let $E := f(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\sup E = +\infty$ and $\inf E = -\infty$.*

Proof. To obtain a contradiction, we assume $\inf E > -\infty$. Since E is closed and discrete, we have $\sup E = +\infty$. Thus E can be written as a monotone increasing sequence $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $a_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$.

Let $g := f^{-1} : E \to \mathbb{Z}$. By translation, we may assume $g(a_1) = 0$. Further, note that g is $\eta'-$quasisymmetric where $\eta'(t) = 1/\eta^{-1}(1/t)$. Let $\mu := \eta'(1)$ and consider the set

$$S := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N};\ g(a_k) = \max_{j=1,2,\cdots,k} g(a_j) \geq \mu \right\}.$$

Since $g : E \to \mathbb{Z}$ is bijective, S consists of infinitely many elements. We number $S = \{k_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in ascending order. Then the sequence $\{g(a_{k_j})\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is monotone increasing. On the other hand, there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g(a_n) < 0$. Thus we can find $j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_j < \ell < k_{j+1}$ and $g(a_\ell) < 0$. Moreover since $g(a_n) \leq g(a_{k_j})$ for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots, k_{j+1} - 1$, if $g(a_m) = g(a_{k_j}) + 1$ then $m \geq k_{j+1}$. Consequently we confirmed that there exists $k \in S$ and exist $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $k < \ell < m$,
- $g(a_\ell) < 0$ and $g(a_m) = g(a_k) + 1$ (see Figure 8).



FIGURE 8.

Therefore, we have a contradiction;

$$\begin{aligned}\mu > \eta'\left(\left|\frac{a_k - a_\ell}{a_k - a_m}\right|\right) &\geq \left|\frac{g(a_k) - g(a_\ell)}{g(a_k) - g(a_m)}\right| \\ &= g(a_k) - g(a_\ell) > g(a_k) \geq \mu.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.4. *Let $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone increasing sequence with $a_n \to \pm\infty$ as $n \to \pm\infty$. If $g : E \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an $\eta'-$quasisymmetric bijection, then there exists a constant $L \geq 1$ depending only on $\mu := \eta'(1)$ which satisfies the following inequality for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*

$$\frac{1}{L} < \left|\frac{g(a_{n+k}) - g(a_n)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-k})}\right| < L.$$

To prove Lemma 6.4, first, we prove the following estimation;

Claim 1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it holds $|g(a_n) - g(a_{n+1})| < 2\mu$.*

Proof. Since $\mu = \eta'(1) \geq 1$, it suffices to consider the case that $|g(a_n) - g(a_{n+1})| \geq 2$. Then we may assume $g(a_{n+1}) > g(a_n)$ since the same argument mentioned below can be applied to the case $g(a_n) > g(a_{n+1})$.

Letting $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}$ satisfy

$$g(a_m) = \max\{g(a_j);\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n} \text{ such that } g(a_n) \leq g(a_j) < g(a_{n+1})\}$$

and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $g(a_\ell) = g(a_m)+1$ (then $\ell \geq n+1$ by the construction), we can construct $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy the following conditions (see Figure 9);

(1) $m \leq n$ and $n + 1 \leq \ell$,
(2) $g(a_n) \leq g(a_m) < g(a_\ell) = g(a_m) + 1 \leq g(a_{n+1})$.



FIGURE 9.

First, suppose $g(a_m) - g(a_n) \geq (g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n))/2 (\geq 1)$. Then $g(a_m), g(a_n), g(a_\ell)$ are distinct and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &> \eta'\left(\left|\frac{a_m - a_n}{a_m - a_\ell}\right|\right) \\ &\geq \left|\frac{g(a_m) - g(a_n)}{g(a_m) - g(a_\ell)}\right| \\ &= g(a_m) - g(a_n) \geq \frac{g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n) < 2\mu$.

Next, suppose $g(a_m) - g(a_n) < (g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n))/2$. Then $g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_m) > (g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n))/2$ holds. Since $g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n) \geq 2$,

$$g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_\ell) > \frac{g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n)}{2} - 1 \geq 0,$$

that is, $\ell \neq n+1$. Therefore $g(a_m), g(a_{n+1}), g(a_\ell)$ are distinct. Similarly we have $g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n) < 2\mu$. □

Claim 2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $(k \neq 1)$, the following inequality holds;*

$$\frac{k-1}{2\mu} < |g(a_n) - g(a_{n+k})| < 2\mu k.$$

Proof. (*Upper bound*) By the triangle inequality, it immediately follows from Claim 1 that $|g(a_n) - g(a_{n+k})| < 2\mu k$.

(*Lower bound*) Suppose $k \neq 1$. Since the open interval

$$\left(g(a_n) - \frac{k-1}{2},\ g(a_n) + \frac{k-1}{2}\right)$$

contains at most $(k-1)$ integer points, there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(n < m < n+k)$ such that

$$|g(a_n) - g(a_m)| \geq \frac{k-1}{2}.$$

By the quasisymmetry, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &> \eta'\left(\left|\frac{a_n - a_m}{a_n - a_{n+k}}\right|\right) \\ &\geq \left|\frac{g(a_n) - g(a_m)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n+k})}\right| \geq \frac{k-1}{2|g(a_n) - g(a_{n+k})|}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $|g(a_n) - g(a_{n+k})| > (k-1)/2\mu$. □

Claim 3. *Lemma 6.4 holds.*

Proof. If $k \neq 1$, it immediately follows from Claim 2 that

$$\frac{1}{L} < \left|\frac{g(a_{n+k}) - g(a_n)|}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-k})}\right| < L$$

for $L = 8\mu^2$. Moreover, even if $k = 1$, it follows from Claim 1

$$8\mu^2 > 2\mu > \left|\frac{g(a_{n+1}) - g(a_n)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-1})}\right| > \frac{1}{2\mu} > \frac{1}{8\mu^2}.$$

□

By the above lemmas, we obtain the following;

Theorem C. *For a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent;*

(1) *There exists an $\eta-$quasisymmetric bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to E$.*
(2) *E can be written as a monotone increasing sequence $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_n \to \pm\infty$ $(n \to \pm\infty)$, and there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that the following inequality holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$;*

$$\frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{a_{n+k} - a_n}{a_n - a_{n-k}} \leq M.$$

(3) *There exists a $K-$quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $F(\mathbb{Z}) = E$.*

Proof. The equivalence (2) ⇔ (3) is already confirmed by Theorem 6.1 (see [3, Theorem A]). Further, for the same reason as the proof of Theorem 4.8, (3) ⇒ (1) follows. Thus it suffices to show (1) ⇒ (2).

Let us assume that there exists an $\eta-$quasisymmetric bijection $f : \mathbb{Z} \to E$. By Lemma 6.3, E can be written as a monotone increasing sequence $E = \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_n \to \pm\infty$ as $n \to \pm\infty$ (recall E must be closed and discrete in $\mathbb{R}$). Let $g := f^{-1}$. Then g is $\eta'-$quasisymmetric

where $\eta'(t) = 1/\eta^{-1}(1/t)$. By Lemma 6.4, there exists a constant $L \geq 1$ depending only on $\eta'(1) = 1/\eta^{-1}(1)$ which satisfies the following inequality for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

$$\frac{1}{L} < \left| \frac{g(a_{n+k}) - g(a_n)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-k})} \right| < L.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+k} - a_n}{a_n - a_{n-k}} \right| \leq \eta \left(\left| \frac{g(a_{n+k}) - g(a_n)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-k})} \right| \right) < \eta(L).$$

and

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+k} - a_n}{a_n - a_{n-k}} \right| \geq \eta \left(\left| \frac{g(a_{n+k}) - g(a_n)}{g(a_n) - g(a_{n-k})} \right|^{-1} \right)^{-1} > \frac{1}{\eta(L)}.$$

□

7. Extensibility of quasisymmetric embeddings

We would like to complete this paper, proving the following theorem;

Theorem A. *Every $\eta-$quasisymmetric embedding $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a $K = K(\eta)-$quasiconformal extension $\widetilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ where $K = K(\eta)$ is a constant depending only on η.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an $\eta-$quasisymmetric embedding, and let $E := f(\mathbb{Z})$. Then, by Theorem C, there exists a $K'-$quasiconformal mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(\mathbb{Z}) = E$, where K' depends only on η. Since compositions of quasisymmetric mappings are also quasisymmetric, $F^{-1} \circ f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ becomes an $\eta'-$quasisymmetric automorphism where η' depends only on η. By Theorem B, $F^{-1} \circ f$ admits a $K''-$quasiconformal extension $G : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, where K'' depends only on η. Therefore, we obtain a $K = K'K''-$quasiconformal extension $\widetilde{f} = F \circ G : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ of f. The proof is completed. □

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Professor Takeo Ohsawa for his guidance and helpful advices. This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellow 16J02185.

References

[1] P. Alestalo and J. Väisälä, *Uniform domains of higher order. III*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22** (1997), no. 2, 445–464. MR1469802

[2] A. Beurling and L. V. Ahlfors, *The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. **96** (1956), 125–142. MR0086869 (19,258c)

[3] H. Fujino, *The existence of quasiconformal homeomorphism between planes with countable marked points*, Kodai Math. J. **38** (2015), no. 3, 732–746. MR3417531

[4] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR1800917
[5] D. A. Trotsenko and J. Väisälä, *Upper sets and quasisymmetric maps*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **24** (1999), no. 2, 465–488. MR1724387
[6] J. Väisälä, *Questions on quasiconformal maps in space*, Quasiconformal mappings and analysis (Ann Arbor, MI, 1995). MR1488460
[7] V. Vellis, *Quasisymmetric extension on the real line*, 2015. arXiv:1509.06638 [math.MG].

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho Chikusa-ku Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
E-mail address: m12040w@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp